Onsite Monitoring Form | National School Lunch Program (NSLP)

Conti	actin	g Entit	Date of Review:			
CE II) Nun	nber:	Attendance Factor	::		
Site N	Vame	:				
				Yes	No	N/A
I.	App	olicatio	on Approval			
	1.		applications approved at this school? sponsible Party			
	2.	Are a	applications on file correctly approved?			
II.	Dir	ect Ce	rtification			
	1.	Is di	rect certification for SNAP/TANF correctly utilized by the so	chool?		
	2.	displ	rect certification for foster, migrant, homeless, runaway, aced, Head Start, Early Head Start, Even Start, and compar funded pre-K used by the school?	rable \square		
III.	Ma	ster Li	ist/Roster			
	1.	and a	ames listed on the master list match approved applications all direct certification lists (SNAP, TANF, foster, migrant, eless, runaway, displaced, Head Start, Early Head Start, Even, and comparable state funded pre-K)?			
	2.	Are a	ıll lists updated as needed?			
	3.		the CE retain documentation related to all eligibility minations in a central location?			
IV.	Mea	al Cou	nt System			
	1.		the meal count system produce an accurate count of bursable meals (free/reduced-price/paid) served to eligible ren?			
		a.	Is the implemented collection procedure the approved coll procedure?	lection \Box		
		b.	Is the method used for counting reimbursable meals in compliance with the approved point of service requirement (Meal counts must be taken at the location where complete mean served to children.)			
		c.	If the meal count is not taken at the end of the foodservice does the school have a system to account for reimbursable meals?			
		d.	Is the point-of-service meal count used to determine the school's claim for reimbursement?			
		e.	Is the person responsible for monitoring meals taken by students correctly identifying reimbursable meals at the peof service (POS)?	oint 🗆		

				Yes	No	N/A
		f.	Is there a method of identifying non-reimbursable meals (i.e., not meeting meal pattern requirements, seconds, a la carte, adult meals, etc.) and distinguishing them from reimbursable meals?			
		g.	Is someone trained as a backup for the monitor identifying reimbursable meals and the meal counter?			
		h.	Does the counting procedure in use ensure that only one meal per child per day is claimed for reimbursement?			
	2.	failu	the school have a back-up counting system in case of mechanical re of the automated system and do staff know when and how to ement it?			
	3.	Does	the meal count system prevent overt identification?			
		a.	Is the medium of exchange made available to all students at the same location?			
		b.	Does the medium of exchange use accepted codes for identifying students as free, reduced price or paid?			
	4.	Is the	e school correctly implementing policies for handling the following s?			
		a.	Incomplete meals			
		b.	Second meals			
		c.	Lost, stolen, misused, forgotten or destroyed tickets, tokens, IDs, PINs			
		d.	Visiting student meals			
		e.	Adult and non-student meals (and identifying program vs. non-program)			
		f.	A la carte			
		g.	Student worker meals			
		h.	Field trips			
		i.	Charged and/or prepaid meals			
		j.	Offer versus serve (OVS)			
V.	Meal (Count I	Recording and Edit Checks			
	1.	free a	any day during the review month, does the number claimed for and reduced-price meals exceed the number of free and reduced-eligible students?			
	2.		any day during the review month, does the number of meals ned exceed the attendance factor?			
	3.		the school have proper procedures to manage and safeguard cash inciliation, extra item sales, adult meals, etc.)?			
	4.	Are d	laily counts correctly totaled and recorded?			
	5.		ims are aggregated, are the meal counts correctly totaled and olidated?			

					Yes	No	N/A
	6.	that daily meal cou	unts do not exceed the r	tc.) established to ensure number of students eligible or for reimbursement is made?			
	7.	Record today's me students eligible by					
			Number of Students Approved by Category	Today's Meal Counts by Category			
		Free					
		Reduced-Price					
		Paid					
		TE: Do not answer Qurs or RCCIs with only	_	erating Provision 2 in non-base			
	8.	system to provide		and used by the meal count of reimbursable meals by			
	9.	compare the daily against the produc free, reduced-price	edit checks completed an counts of free, reducedet of the number of child e and paid lunches, resp (and any discrepancies	-price and paid lunches lren currently eligible for pectively, times an			
VI.	Re	sults of Review					
	1.	Is corrective action	n plan required?				
	2.	Is a follow-up revi	ew required?				
	Co	mments, Notes and C	Observations During the F	Review			
VII.	Sug	gest Corrective Acti	on (Follow-up within 45	6 days)?			
VIII.	Sig	natures					
		Signature of Reviewe	r	Signature of Site Ma	anager		

Directions: Onsite Monitoring Form | National School Lunch Program (NSLP)

Purpose

This form is intended to be used annually as an onsite monitoring instrument to ensure that CEs are correctly operating their counting and claiming systems.

CEs must complete this form at least once annually before February 1. However, staff may find conducting an onsite monitoring review more frequently will support

preparation for an onsite review and promote effective management.

The monitoring reviews should be conducted by the School Nutrition Program (SNP) director or designee.

For additional guidance for the issues included in this form, see *Administrator's Reference Manual* (ARM), Section 8, Lunch Meals and Section 20, Counting & Claiming.

Directions for Completing This Form

General Information

- **Contracting Entity (CE) Name:** Record the name of the CE in the designated space.
- **CE ID Number:** Record the ID number of the CE in the designated space.

Use of This Form								
Frequency	CEs must complete this form at least once annually before February 1; recommended every other month.							
Required Form Format	Use this form or a similar reporting instrument.							
Record Retention	Completed forms kept onsite and made available on request. Public and charter schools are required to keep documentation related to school nutrition programs for 5 years. Private schools, other nonprofit organizations, and residential child care institutions (RCCIs) are required to keep documentation for 3 years.							

- Site/School Name: Record the site or school location name in the designated space.
- Date of Review: Record the date the review was completed.
- Attendance Factor: Record the site's attendance factor in the designated space.

[NOTE: This number is also reported on the Daily Record/Accuclaim Form.¹ That form includes a worksheet that auto-populates the attendance factor when the daily record section is completed.]

To calculate the Attendance Factor for reimbursable meals,

- Calculate the Average Daily Attendance (ADA) for the month by summing the site's daily attendance for the claim month and dividing that sum by the number of operating days for the claim month/period.
- Divide the ADA by the highest daily total enrollment for the month. The highest daily
 enrollment is the highest number of students enrolled on any day of the month at the site.

[NOTE: Sites located in schools may find that the attendance clerk is able to provide the information needed to identify this number.]

¹ Form available at http://www.SquareMeals.org.

Calculating the Attendance Factor									
Average Daily Attendance (ADA) for the Month						Highest Daily		Attendance	
(Sum of Daily Attendance for Month)	÷	(Number of Operating Days)	=	ADA	÷	Total Enrollment for the Month	=	Factor for the Month	
22,626	÷	18	=	1,257	÷	1,425	=	0.8821	

This calculation should be completed and recorded at the end of the month.

 Multiply the highest number of possible participants for the month for each eligibility status by the Attendance Factor—free, reduced-price, and paid—and compare the results to the actual number claimed.

Applying the Attendance Factor									
Highest # Possible Participants		Attendance Factor x (ADA ÷ Highest Daily = Total Enrollment)		Highest # of Meals Expected to Be Claimed Each Serving Day					
Free:	825	X	0.8821	=	727.7 (728)				
Reduced- Price:	165	x	0.8821	=	145.5 (146)				
Paid:	267	X	0.8821	=	235.52 (236)				

If the number of lunch meals claimed for the month is in line with the *Highest # of Meals Expected to Be Claimed Each Serving Day*, no further action is needed.

If the number of lunch meals claimed for the month is <u>higher than</u> the *Highest # of Meals Expected to Be Claimed Each Serving Day*, the CE will need to determine (1) if there is a valid reason for the larger number and retain documentation about the reason or (2) if a mistake has been made.

Parts I-V

• Answer each question by marking the appropriate box under *Yes*, *No*, or *N/A* and fill in any blanks spaces as requested.

[NOTE: CEs will need their Policy Statement for Free and Reduced-Price Meals, Attachment B: Meal Count/ Collection Procedures (Attachment B) to complete the questions in Parts I through V.]

- Review the CE/site retained documentation related to each question topic area.
 - Is the documentation readily accessible?
 - Is the document kept in an organized manner?
 - Does the documentation support the answer?
 - If not, what changes need to be made to ensure that the CE/site is implementing the program correctly?
- Compare results from the onsite monitoring form to previous scores.
- Develop strategies to address areas of need.

Part VI

• Determine if any of the answers to Parts I–V require a corrective action plan (CAP).

- Mark the appropriate response once that determination has been made.
- Record any comments, notes, or observations about the corrective action in the comment text box

Part VII

- Determine if a follow-up review is needed to make sure the CAP has been completed successfully.
- Mark the appropriate response once that determination has been made.
- Record any comments, notes, or observations about the follow-up that will help to improve the school nutrition program in the comment text box.

Part VIII

- Have the reviewer sign in the designated space.
- Have the site manager sign in the designated space.